



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	Э.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/944,341		09/04/2001	Tsuneo Sato	0649-0799P	9771
2292	7590	05/25/2006		EXAMINER	
		RT KOLASCH &	RICHER, AARON M		
	PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2628	
				DATE MAILED OF DE DOOR	

DATE MAILED: 05/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/944,341	SATO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Aaron M. Richer	2628
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ute, cause the application to become ABANI	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u> 2a) □ This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ The 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters	· •
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 9-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 9-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ection is required if the drawing(s)	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appl riority documents have been receau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/944,341 Page 2

Art Unit: 2628

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 14 recites the limitation "said multidimensional lookup table" in lines 2-3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 9-12, and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bhattacharjya (U.S. Patent 5,809,213).
- 6. As to claim 9, Bhattacharjya discloses:

a lookup table which is composed of characteristic points which are points indicating the relationship between supplied image data and output image data (col. 5, lines 43-50; a lookup table is generated from "augmented sample points" which

Art Unit: 2628

correspond to "characteristic points") which are determined to be impossible to be interpolated when a process for converting image data is performed (fig. 2a, col. 10, lines 40-63; points are sampled because many points are impossible to interpolate; similarly some points are non-linearly interpolated because they are impossible to linearly interpolate);

and image data converting means for converting supplied image data by using said lookup table composed of the characteristic points into output image data (col. 5, lines 43-50; a linear interpolation function is used to convert the table value to a calibration values for a color reproduction system).

- 7. As to claim 10, Bhattacharjya discloses an apparatus further comprising table development means for developing said lookup table into the multidimensional lookup table; wherein said image data converting means uses the multidimensional lookup table developed by said table development means to convert supplied image data into output image data (col. 15, lines 34-38).
- 8. As to claim 11, Bhattacharjya discloses an apparatus wherein said table development means develops said lookup table into said multidimensional lookup table in such a manner that all of characteristic points of said lookup table composed of the characteristic points are contained (col. 5, lines 43-50; a lookup table is generated from "augmented sample points" which correspond to "characteristic points").
- 9. As to claim 12, Bhattacharjya discloses an apparatus wherein said table development means develops said lookup table into the multidimensional lookup table such that data corresponding to grid points of said multidimensional lookup table is

Application/Control Number: 09/944,341

Page 4

Art Unit: 2628

composed of output data of said lookup table and data of information of adjacent grid points for interpolating a portion between grid points (col. 15, lines 34-38; the 3-D lookup table is formed from the interpolation method described earlier, which involves finding points between lookup table points and adjacent grid points from the lookup table).

10. As to claim 14, Bhattacharjya discloses an apparatus further comprising: table recording means for recording said multidimensional lookup table developed by said table development means in a memory (col. 15, lines 34-38; col. 8, lines 53-67);

and updating means for operating said table development means and said table recording means when said lookup table composed of the characteristic points has been updated to update said multidimensional lookup table and rewrite the updated multidimensional lookup table on said memory, wherein said image data converting means uses said multidimensional lookup table recorded in said memory to convert supplied image data into output image data (col. 10, lines 19-64; after first sample points are taken, additional sample points are added, the set of both corresponding to the "augmented" set of sample points).

11. As to claims 15 and 16, see the combined rejections of claims 9 and 10.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 13. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bhattacharjya (U.S. Patent 5,809,213).
- 14. As to claim 13, Bhattacharjya discloses an apparatus wherein said image data converting means uses obtained multidimensional lookup table to convert supplied image data into output image data (see rejection to claim 10).

Bhattacharjya does not disclose that said multidimensional lookup table is a compressed multidimensional lookup table formed by compressing said multidimensional lookup table; nor does Bhattacharjya disclose restoring means provided which restores said compressed multidimensional lookup table into said multidimensional lookup table. However, Official notice has been taken of the fact that compressing and restoring a color table is well-known in the art (see MPEP 2144.03). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Bhattacharjya to compress and restore a color table in order to conserve space in memory.

Conclusion

- 15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to color conversion by interpolation in general:
- U.S. Patent 5,809,181 to Metcalfe, which describes a method of linear interpolation between certain color characteristic points that are determined to be very important to approximating the conversion.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron M. Richer whose telephone number is (571) 272-7790. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 8:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kee Tung can be reached on (571) 272-7794. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AMR 5/22/06

Kee M. Tung Primary Examiner Page 6